| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 10/534,772   | TOMLINSON ET AL.   |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | SHARON WEN   | 1644   |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: <u>pending</u>                                |  |
| (1) <u>SHARON WEN</u> .   | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>Jian Xiao</u> .  | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: 4 March 2011   | Time:  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:  |  |  |
| Part I.   |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A  |  |  |
| Claims discussed: pending   |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A  |  |  |
| Part II.  |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant's representative and Examiner agreed to amend the claims via Examiner's Amendment to clarify the claimed subject matter in order to place the present Application in condition for allowance.                              |  |  |
| Part III.   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul> | ne examiner will provide a written<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| /Sharon Wen/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644<br>(A   | Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                    | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |